## COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE

## **REPORT**

# TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE

SEPTEMBER 2017

## **Disciplinary Sanctions 06/01/2017 - 08/31/2017**

District

# of Complaints Resolved

**DISBARMENTS** 

DISBINATEIVIS	District	# 01 Complaints Resolved
D 1 (D) 1 1		
Board of Disciplinary Appeals:		
Daniels, L. Mickele	BODA	1
Guajardo, T. Anthony	BODA	1
Total:	2	2
DEGLOVA TVOVG DAVA VEVA OF DAGGIDA DA	51	<b>" 0</b> 0 11 7 1 1
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE Bendel, Kenneth Emeke	District 9	# of Complaints Resolved 4
	10	
Bosworth, David Alan		1
Mitchell, James L.	10	1
Total:	3	6
SUSPENSIONS	District	# of Complaints Resolved
Baker, Timothy Edward	1	2
Burnett, Burt Lee	14	3
Finley, W. Thomas	6	1
Fisher, Ray	9	1
Galvan, Ray Jr.	6	1
Hulsey, William N. III	9	2
Hwang, Stephan Dongwhee	6	. 1
Jacobs, Paul Steven	4	1
Knight, David W.	14	1
Krenek, Julie Lynn Bulthuis	1	2
Kricken, Wade Travis	6	1
Krueger, David Allan Allan	1	1
Lucas, James Edward	11	1
Ponce, Joe Jesse III	10	1
Russell, Michael Kerry	7	2
Sharp, Joe Steven	13	1
Skocpol-Saleh, D. Kristine	8	

	Total:	23	32
Patterson, Gina Dawn		BODA	1
Knight, David W.		BODA	1
Board of Disciplinary Appeals:			
w mains, Robert Earnest II		11	
Williams, Robert Earnest II		11	1.
Vaughn, Andrew David		1	3
Turner, Todd Brantley		6	1
Tipton, Francisco Steven		12	2

#### PRIVATE REPRIMANDS

Grievance Comm.	# of Sanctions	# of Complaints Resolved
1	1	1
3	2	2
4	3	3
5	1	1
6	1	1
7	1	2
10	5	5
12	2	2
16	1	1
17	1	1
Total	18	19
Grievance Referral Program	18	18
Grand Total:	64	77

### **Disciplinary Actions - Current Bar Year**

BAR YEARS 2017-2018		Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS	2	2
	RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	3	6
	SUSPENSIONS	23	32
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	18	19
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	18	18
	Tot	al: 64	77

\*does not reflect year-end figures

#### **Disciplinary Actions - Previous Bar Years**

	Disciplinary rections in evidual	J LJGH I GGHID	
BAR YEARS 2016-2017		Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS	20	59
	RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	28	122
	SUSPENSIONS	126	182
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	30	37
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	89	97
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	50	50
	Total:	343	547

DISBARMENTS 22 61 RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE 27 146 SUSPENSIONS 132 205 PUBLIC REPRIMANDS 30 33 PRIVATE REPRIMANDS 67 72 GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM 47 47 Total: 325 564	BAR YEARS 2015-2016		Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
SUSPENSIONS132205PUBLIC REPRIMANDS3033PRIVATE REPRIMANDS6772GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM4747		DISBARMENTS	22	61
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS 30 33 PRIVATE REPRIMANDS 67 72 GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM 47 47		RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	27	146
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS 67 72 GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM 47 47		SUSPENSIONS	132	205
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM 47 47		PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	30	33
		PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	67	72
Total: 325 564		GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	47	47
		Total	1: 325	564

BAR YEARS 2014-2015		Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS	27	50
	RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	19	56
	SUSPENSIONS	112	146
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	32	36
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	66	72
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	64	72
	Total:	320	432
BAR YEARS 2013-2014		Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS	23	42
	RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	17	58
	SUSPENSIONS	130	169
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	31	35
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	63	70
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	57	57
	Total:	321	431
BAR YEARS 2012-2013		Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS	39	51
	RESIGNATIONS	24	46
	SUSPENSIONS	122	160
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	37	40
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	89	91
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	56	56
	Total:	367	444

BAR YEARS 2011-2012			Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS		38	45
	RESIGNATIONS		27	87
	SUSPENSIONS		137	174
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS		40	41
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS		106	115
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM		54	54
		Total:	402	516
BAR YEARS 2010-2011			Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS		28	52
	RESIGNATIONS		23	101
	SUSPENSIONS		157	254
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS		40	50
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS		77	82
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM		46	46
		Total:	371	584
BAR YEARS 2009-2010			Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS		25	33
	RESIGNATIONS		22	40
	SUSPENSIONS		111	169
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS		37	47
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS		81	89
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM		39	39
		Total:	315	417

BAR YEARS 2008-2009			Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS		32	43
	RESIGNATIONS		26	104
	SUSPENSIONS		127	189
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS		46	54
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS		68	73
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM		36	36
		Total:	335	499
BAR YEARS 2007-2008			Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
	DISBARMENTS		24	63
	RESIGNATIONS		24	90
	SUSPENSIONS		121	224
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS		28	35
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS		69	73
	GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM		33	33
		Total:	299	518
BAR YEARS 2006-2007			Total Sanctions	
	DISBARMENTS		30	
	RESIGNATIONS		31	
	SUSPENSIONS		110	
	PUBLIC REPRIMANDS		62	
	PRIVATE REPRIMANDS		87	
		Total:	320	

#### **DISTRICT 1**:

Baker, Timothy Edward: #24050247

08/01/2017-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension

08/01/2017-07/31/2018: PROBATED

On August 1, 2017, **Timothy Edward Baker** [#24050247], 49, of Allen, agreed to a 12-month, fully probated suspension, effective August 1, 2017. The District 1 Grievance Committee found that in April 2012, Complainant hired Baker to represent him in a real estate matter. In representing Complainant, Baker failed to abide by Complainant's decisions concerning the objectives and general methods of representation. During Baker's representation of Complainant, Baker failed to keep Complainant reasonably informed about the status of Complainant's legal matter and failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information. In the second matter, in March 2013, Complainant hired Baker for representation in a civil action. In representing Complainant, Baker neglected the legal matter entrusted to him by failing to provide legal services including, but not limited, to, Baker's failure to respond to requests for admissions. During Baker's representation of Complainant, Baker failed to keep Complainant reasonably informed about the status of Complainant's legal matter and failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information. Upon termination of representation, Baker failed to surrender papers and property to which Complainant was entitled.

Baker violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.02(a)(1), 1.03(a), and 1.15(d). He was ordered to pay \$250.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

Krenek, Julie Lynn Bulthuis: #24065574 08/11/2017-Agreed Active Suspension 08/15/2017-08/14/2019: SUSPENSION

On August 11, 2017, **Julie Lynn Bulthuis Krenek** [#24065574], 48, of McKinney, agreed to a 24-month, active suspension, effective August 15, 2017. The District 1 Grievance Committee found that in the first matter in September 2016, Complainant hired Krenek to represent him in a family law matter. In representing Complainant, Krenek neglected the legal matter entrusted to her by failing to appear at the Final Trial setting on behalf of Complainant. In the second matter, on February 17, 2016, Complainant hired Krenek to represent him in a family law matter. In representing Complainant, Krenek failed to keep Complainant reasonably informed about the status of his legal matter and failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information from Complainant. Krenek violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), and 1.03(a). She was ordered to pay \$500.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

Krueger, David Allan: #24025940

06/06/2017-Agreed Partially Probated Suspension

04/15/2018-04/14/2020: SUSPENSION 04/15/2020-04/14/2022: PROBATED

On June 6, 2017, David Allan Krueger [#24025940], 49, of Oklahoma, agreed to a 48-month, partially probated suspension, effective April 15, 2018, with the first 24 months actively suspended and the remainder probated. The 429th District Court of Collin County found that the parties agreed that Krueger committed professional misconduct by violating Rules 1.03(a) [A lawyer shall keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information], 1.08(a) [A lawyer shall not enter into a business transaction with a client], 1.14(a) [A lawyer shall hold funds and other property belonging in whole or in part to clients or third persons that are in a lawyer's possession in connection with a representation separate from the lawyer's own property. Such funds shall be kept in a separate account, designated as a "trust" or "escrow" account, maintained in the state where the lawyer's office is situated, or elsewhere with the consent of the client or third person. Other client property shall be identified as such and appropriately safeguarded. Complete records of such account funds and other property shall be kept by the lawyer and shall be preserved for a period of five years after termination of the representation], 1.14(b) [Upon receiving funds or other property in which a client or third person has an interest, a lawyer shall promptly notify the client or third person. Except as stated in this rule or otherwise permitted by law or by agreement with the client, a lawyer shall promptly deliver to the client or third person any funds or other property that the client or third person is entitled to receive and, upon request by the client or third person, shall promptly render a full accounting regarding such property ], 1.15(d) [ Upon termination of representation, a lawyer shall take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests, such as giving reasonable notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other counsel, surrendering papers and property to which the client is entitled and refunding any advance payments of fee that has not been earned. The lawyer may retain papers relating to the client to the extent permitted by other law only if such retention will not prejudice the client in the subject matter of the representation ] and 8.04(a)(3) [A lawyer shall not engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation]. Krueger was ordered to pay attorneys' fees and direct expenses in the sum of \$4,500.00 and restitution in the sum of \$40,000.00.

## Dallas Attorney 07/14/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.01(b)(1)

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not neglect a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

Rule 1.03(a)

A lawyer shall keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

Rule 8.04(a)(8)

A lawyer shall not fail to timely furnish to the Chief Disciplinary Counsel's office or a district grievance committee a response or other information as required by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, unless he or she in good faith timely asserts a privilege or other legal ground for failure to do so.

Vaughn, Andrew David: #24056764

08/22/2017-Agreed Partially Probated Suspension

09/15/2017-10/14/2017: SUSPENSION 10/15/2017-09/14/2018: PROBATED

On August 22, 2017, **Andrew David Vaughn** [#24056764], 37, of Canton, received a 12-month, partially probated suspension, effective September 15, 2017, with the first month actively served and the remainder probated. Vaughn neglected the legal matters entrusted to him in three cases; failed to keep his clients reasonably informed about the status of their legal matter; failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information from his clients; upon termination of representation failed to surrender papers and property to which his clients were entitled and refund advance payment of fees that had not been earned; and failed to respond to the grievance. Vaughn violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.15(d), and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$2,200.00 in the first case, \$1,804.00 in the second case and \$950.00 in the third case. Vaughn was also ordered to pay \$1,800.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

#### **DISTRICT 3:**

## Houston Attorney 05/02/2017-Private Reprimand

Rule 1.03(a)

for failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and failing to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information

## Houston Attorney 07/12/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.03(a)

for failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and failing to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information

#### DISTRICT 4:

## Houston Attorney 08/02/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.03(a)

for failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and failing to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information

Jacobs, Paul Steven: #10520600

07/20/2017-Agreed Partially Probated Suspension

10/15/2017-01/14/2018: SUSPENSION 01/15/2018-04/14/2019: PROBATED

On July 20, 2017, **Paul Steven Jacobs** [#10520600], 58, of Houston, accepted an 18-month, partially probated suspension, effective October 15, 2017, with the first three months actively served and the remainder probated. An evidentiary panel of the District 4 Grievance Committee found that Jacobs failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information from clients about their legal matter, and failed to explain the status of their legal matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit them to make informed decisions regarding the representation. Jacobs violated Rules 1.03(a) and 1.03(b). He was ordered to pay \$100.00 in restitution and \$3,326.43 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

## Houston Attorney 07/17/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.09(a)(3)

Without prior consent, a lawyer who personally has formerly represented a client in a matter shall not thereafter represent another person in a matter adverse to the former client: if it is the same or a substantially related matter.

## Houston Attorney 07/13/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.03(a)

A lawyer shall keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

#### **DISTRICT 5:**

## Houston Attorney 07/17/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.02(a)(1)

for failing to abide by a client's decisions concerning the objectives and general methods of representation

Rule 1.03(b).

for failing to explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation

#### DISTRICT 6:

## Dallas Attorney 06/26/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

#### Rule 1.03(a)

A lawyer shall keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

#### Rule 1.03(b)

A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

#### Rule 1.15(d)

Upon termination of representation a lawyer shall take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests, such as giving reasonable notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other counsel, surrendering papers and property to which the client is entitled and refunding any advance payments of fee that has not been earned. The lawyer may retain papers relating to the client to the extent permitted by other law only if such retention will not prejudice the client in the subject matter of the representation.

Finley, W. Thomas: #07025500

05/04/2017-Partially Probated Suspension 05/01/2017-06/30/2017: SUSPENSION 07/01/2017-10/30/2018: PROBATED

On May 4, 2017, **W. Thomas Finley** [#07025500], 69, of Dallas, received an 18-month, partially probated suspension, with the first two months actively suspended and the remainder probated. An evidentiary panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that on April 15, 2014, Complainant hired Finley to represent him in a civil matter. Thereafter, upon receiving settlement funds to which Complainant had an interest, Finley failed to promptly deliver to Complainant the settlement funds that Complainant was entitled to receive. Finley also failed to promptly render a full accounting regarding such funds, despite Complainant's requests for said information. Finley failed to hold funds belonging to Complainant that were in Finley's possession in connection with the representation separate from Finley's own property. Finley also failed to keep Complainant reasonably informed about the status of his civil matter and failed to promptly comply with Complainant's reasonable requests for information. Finley violated Rules 1.03(a), 1.14(a), and 1.14(b). He was ordered to pay \$4,500.00 in restitution and \$5,000.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

Galvan, Ray Jr.: #07599300

05/12/2017-Partially Probated Suspension 06/01/2017-06/30/2017: SUSPENSION 07/01/2017-05/31/2019: PROBATED

On May 12, 2017, **Ray Galvan, Jr.** [#07599300], 54, of Dallas, received a 24-month, partially probated suspension, effective June 1, 2017, with the first month actively suspended and the remainder probated. An evidentiary panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that Galvan violated a previous disciplinary judgment by failing to pay attorneys' fees and direct expenses due and owing to the State Bar of Texas. Galvan violated Rule 8.04(a)(7). He was ordered to pay \$982.50 in attorneys' fees and \$200.00 in direct expenses.

Hwang, Stephan Dongwhee: #24036817 06/26/2017-Partially Probated Suspension 06/15/2017-12/14/2017: SUSPENSION 12/15/2017-06/14/2019: PROBATED

On June 26, 2017, **Stephan Dongwhee Hwang** [#24036817], 47, of Lewisville, received a 24-month, partially probated suspension, effective June 15, 2017, with the first six months actively served and the remainder probated. An evidentiary panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that Hwang failed to hold escrow funds belonging to Complainant that were in Hwang's possession in connection with the representation separate from Hwang's own property; failed to keep funds belonging to Complainant in a separate trust or escrow account; failed to promptly deliver to Complainant funds that Complainant was entitled to receive; failed to disburse funds in his account only to those persons entitled to receive the funds by virtue of the representation or by law. Hwang violated Rules 1.14(a), 1.14(b), and 1.14(c). He was ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$20,000 and \$8,283.25 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

Kricken, Wade Travis: #24034527 05/30/2017-Fully Probated Suspension 06/01/2017-05/31/2018: PROBATED

On May 30, 2017, **Wade Travis Kricken** [#24034527], 41, of Prosper, received a one-year, fully probated suspension, effective June 1, 2017. An evidentiary panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that Kricken filed frivolous actions on behalf of debtors in bankruptcy court without the debtors' knowledge or consent, knowingly made false statements of material fact to a third person, and used means that had no substantial purpose other than to delay a third person. Kricken violated Rules 3.01, 4.01(a), and 4.04(a). He was ordered to pay \$3,222.50 in attorneys' fees and \$380.50 in direct expenses.

Turner, Todd Brantley: #24029873 05/16/2017-Partially Probated Suspension 07/01/2017-06/30/2018: SUSPENSION 07/01/2018-06/30/2019: PROBATED

On May 16, 2017, **Todd Brantley Turner** [#24029873], 43, of Dallas, received a two-year, partially probated suspension, effective July 1, 2017, with the first year active and the remainder probated. An evidentiary panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that Turner failed to keep his clients

reasonably informed and promptly comply with their requests for information about the status of their legal matters, failed to reduce the contingency fee agreement entered into with his clients relative to their personal injury matter to writing, failed to safeguard client funds, failed to promptly deliver to a client and medical provider those funds to which they were entitled to receive, and engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation. Turner violated Rules 1.03(a), 1.04(d), 1.14(a), 1.14(b), and 8.04(a)(3). He was ordered to pay restitution in the amounts of \$12,331.52 and \$4,193.00.

#### **DISTRICT 7:**

Dallas Attorney 05/08/2017-Private Reprimand

RULE 8.04(a)(8)

A lawyer shall not fail to timely furnish to the Chief Disciplinary Counsel's office or a district grievance committee a response or other information as required by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, unless he or she in good faith timely asserts a privilege or other legal ground for failure to do so.

Russell, Michael Kerry: #17420700 05/15/2017-Fully Probated Suspension 05/15/2017-05/14/2019: PROBATED

On May 15, 2017, **Michael Kerry Russell** [#17420700], 64, of Arlington, received a two-year, fully probated suspension. An evidentiary panel of the District 7 Grievance Committee found that in two separate cases, Russell failed to keep his clients reasonably informed about the status of the case, failed to promptly comply with the clients' reasonable requests for information, and neglected the clients' legal matter. Russell violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), and 1.03(a). He was ordered to pay \$2,700.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

#### **DISTRICT 8:**

Skocpol-Saleh, D. Kristine: #24066713 05/17/2017-Partially Probated Suspension 05/08/2017-11/07/2018: SUSPENSION 11/08/2018-05/07/2021: PROBATED

On May 17, 2017, **D. Kristine Skocpol-Saleh** [#24066713], 42, of Hamilton, received a four-year, partially probated suspension, with 18 months active. An evidentiary panel of the District 8 Grievance Committee found that Skocpol-Saleh was hired to file a Chapter 13 bankruptcy proceeding. Skocpol-Saleh filed a voluntary petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of Texas. During the course of the representation, Skocpol-Saleh failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information from her client. When Skocpol-Saleh failed to appear at two separate hearings in the case, the judge ordered her to appear for a Status Conference. Skocpol-Saleh again failed to appear. The Court then issued an Order to Appear and Show Cause why monetary sanctions should not be imposed against Skocpol-Saleh and/or why she should not be disciplined for failing to represent her client at three hearings. As a result of the show cause hearing, the Court issued an order requiring disgorgement of attorney's fees and imposing restrictions on Skocpol-Saleh's continued practice in the bankruptcy courts.

Skocpol-Saleh violated Rules: 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), and 3.04(d) of the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct, Article X, Section 9, State Bar Rules. Skocpol-Saleh was ordered to pay \$4,313.46 in attorneys' fees and expenses.

#### **DISTRICT 9:**

Bendel, Kenneth Emeke: #24074835 08/25/2017-Resignation in lieu of Discipline

On August 25, 2017, the Supreme Court of Texas, accepted the resignation, in lieu of discipline, of **Kenneth Emeke Bendel** [#24074835], 31, of Sacramento, California. At the time of Bendel's resignation, four disciplinary cases were pending against him. In one case, Bendel neglected his client's immigration matter, failed to keep the client informed about the status of the case and when terminated, failed to return the client's file and unearned fees. In a second case, Bendel neglected his client's immigration matter and failed to explain the matter to the extent necessary to allow the client to make an informed decision about the representation. In two additional cases, Bendel neglected his client's immigration matters. Bendel violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.03(b), and 1.15(d).

Fisher, Ray: #07057400

07/13/2017-Agreed Partially Probated Suspension

09/01/2017-02/28/2018: SUSPENSION 03/01/2018-08/31/2021: PROBATED

On July 13, 2017, **Ray Fisher** [#07057400], 64, of Austin, received a four-year, partially probated suspension, with six months active and the remainder probated, effective September 1, 2017. An evidentiary panel of the District 9 Grievance Committee found that Fisher was hired in July of 2013 to file a Chapter 7 bankruptcy for Complainant. After paying \$2,500.00 for the representation and sending Fisher documents regarding Complainant's debt obligations, Complainant never heard from Fisher again. In November of 2015, Complainant hired another attorney on an unrelated matter and learned that the bankruptcy was never filed. On Complainant's behalf, Complainant's new attorney requested Complainant's file and unearned fees from Fisher on December 15, 2015. Respondent failed to return the file or any unearned fees. Fisher violated Rules: 1.01(b)(1) and 1.15(d) of the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct, Article X, Section 9, State Bar Rules. Fisher was ordered to pay \$2,500.00 in restitution and \$941.92 in attorneys' fees and expenses.

Hulsey, William N. III: #10261150 08/30/2017-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension 08/30/2017-02/29/2020: PROBATED

On August 30, 2017, William N. Hulsey, III [#10261150], 62, of Austin, received a 30-month, fully probated suspension. An evidentiary panel of the District 9 Grievance Committee found that in one matter Complainant and Hulsey were law partners from January 1, 2014, through January 21, 2015. When Complainant and Hulsey terminated their professional relationship, some of the firm's clients chose to have Complainant continue to represent them. In 2015, Hulsey charged each client a \$225.00 fee for the administrative costs of transferring the file, in contravention of the fee agreements they signed with Hulsey and Complainant's law firm. Further, Hulsey returned unearned fees to clients using checks that were drawn on Hulsey's firm's operating account rather than on his firm's trust account. Hulsey received

advanced fees for legal services from clients but failed to hold the advanced fees in a separate trust account until the fees were earned. In another matter, Complainant hired Hulsey to represent her interests in obtaining patents in several foreign countries. Hulsey was to engage foreign patent law firms, coordinate filings with those firms, and pay to the foreign firms the legal fees and filing fees incurred in procuring the foreign patents from the funds provided by Complainant. Pursuant to Hulsey's instructions, Complainant wired a total of \$41,480.00 in advanced fees to Hulsey's operating account. Although Hulsey was holding certain funds, tendered by Complainant, for the express purpose of paying foreign attorneys' legal fees and filing fees, Hulsey failed to hold the advanced fees in a separate trust account. Hulsey also failed to promptly deliver funds to foreign attorneys after receiving invoices for legal fees and expenses. When Complainant terminated Hulsey's representation, Hulsey failed to timely provide Complainant with all documents in Complainant's file as requested. Hulsey violated Rules 1.14(a), 1.14(b) and 1.15(d) of the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct, Article X, Section 9, State Bar Rules. Hulsey was ordered to pay \$6,700.00 in attorneys' fees and expenses.

#### **DISTRICT 10:**

#### San Antonio Attorney 07/12/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.03(a)

Failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

#### Bosworth, David Alan: #02687350 06/20/2017-Resignation in lieu of Discipline

On June 20, 2017, the Supreme Court of Texas accepted the resignation, in lieu of discipline, of **David Alan Bosworth** [#02687350], 64, of San Antonio. At the time of his resignation, Bosworth had one grievance pending alleging Bosworth failed to hold funds that were to be held in trust separate from his own property, failed to promptly deliver funds to the person entitled to receive funds, failed to produce a complete accounting when requested and engaged in conduct involving fraud, deceit or misrepresentation. Bosworth violated Rules 1.14(a), 1.14(b), & 8.04(a)(3).

#### San Antonio Attorney 07/12/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.14(b)

Failing, upon receiving funds or other property in which a client or third person has an interest, to promptly notify the client or third person and render a full accounting upon request.

#### San Antonio Attorney 07/10/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.15(b)(1)

A lawyer shall not withdraw from representing a client unless withdrawal can be accomplished without material adverse effect on the interests of the client.

## San Antonio Attorney 08/15/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 8.04(a)(8)

A lawyer shall not fail to timely furnish to the Chief Disciplinary Counsels office or a district grievance committee a response or other information as required by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, unless he or she in good faith timely asserts a privilege or other legal ground for failure to do so.

#### Mitchell, James L.: #14214100 08/25/2017-Resignation in lieu of Discipline

On August 25, 2017, the Supreme Court of Texas accepted the resignation, in lieu of discipline, of **James** L. **Mitchell** [#14214100], 65, of Boerne. At the time of his resignation, Mitchell had five grievances pending alleging Mitchell neglected clients' matters, failed to communicate with clients, failed to return unearned fees, misrepresented facts to clients, failed to return a client's file, and failed to respond to grievances.

Mitchell violated Rules 1.01(b)(1)&(2), 1.03(a)&(b), 1.14(a), 1.15(d), 8.01(a), and 8.04(a)(3)&(8).

Ponce, Joe Jesse III: #24014329

07/06/2017-Partially Probated Suspension 12/15/2017-01/14/2018: SUSPENSION 01/15/2018-12/14/2019: PROBATED

On July 6, 2017, **Joe Jesse Ponce III** [#24014329], 59, of San Antonio, received a two-year, partially probated suspension, effective December 15, 2017, with the first month actively served and the remainder probated. The 37th Judicial District Court of Bexar County found that Ponce committed professional misconduct by violating Rule 8.04(a)(7) [violating a disciplinary judgment] Ponce was ordered to pay \$7,065.00 in restitution and \$6,727.97 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

#### San Antonio Attorney 05/31/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.01(b)(2)

for failing to carry out completely the obligations owed to a client

Rule 1.03(a)

for failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information; and

Rule 8.04(a)(8)

for failing to timely furnish to a district grievance committee a response or other information as required unless he or she timely asserts a privilege or other legal ground for failure to do so.

#### **DISTRICT 11:**

Lucas, James Edward: #24011140

07/20/2017-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension

07/01/2017-01/01/2018: PROBATED

On July 20, 2017, **James Edward Lucas** [#24011140], 54, of Corpus Christi, accepted a six-month, fully probated suspension, effective July 1, 2017. The District 11 Grievance Committee found that Lucas neglected a client's matter, failed to keep a client reasonably informed and failed to respond to the grievance.

Lucas violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), and 8.04(a)(8), was ordered to pay \$1,000.00 in restitution and \$400.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

Williams, Robert Earnest II: #24085659 05/30/2017-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension 06/01/2017-08/31/2017: PROBATED

On May 30, 2017, **Robert E. Williams, II** [#24085659], 35, of Houston, accepted a three-month, fully probated suspension, effective June 1, 2017. The District 11 Grievance Committee found that Williams failed to keep a client reasonably informed, failed to return the unearned portion of a fee timely and failed to respond to the grievance. Williams violated Rules 1.03(a), 1.15(d), and 8.04(a)(8).

#### **DISTRICT 12:**

#### San Antonio Attorney 07/27/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.01(b)(1)

for neglecting a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer

Rule 1.02(a)(1)

for failing to abide by a client's decisions concerning the objectives and general methods of representation

Rule 1.03(a)

for failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

#### San Antonio Attorney 07/10/2017-Agreed Private Reprimand

Rule 1.03(a)

Failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

Tipton, Francisco Steven: #24088756

08/12/2017-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension

09/01/2017-08/30/2019: PROBATED

On August 12, 2017, **Francisco Steven Tipton** [#24088756], 42, of McAllen, accepted a two-year, fully probated suspension, effective September 1, 2017. The District 12 Grievance Committee found that Tipton neglected a client's matter, fail to keep clients reasonably informed, failed to hold client's funds separate from his own property, failed to refund an unearned fee and failed to comply with the requisite filing requirements of the Advertising Review Committee. Tipton violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(b), 1.14(a)&(b), 1.15(d), and 7.07(c), and agreed to pay \$5,350.00 in restitution.

#### **DISTRICT 13:**

Sharp, Joe Steven: #24028929

06/21/2017-Agreed Active Suspension 09/01/2016-05/31/2017: SUSPENSION

On June 21, 2017, **Joe Steven Sharp** [#24028929], 56, of Amarillo, received a nine-month, active suspension, effective September 1, 2016. The 181st District Court of Randall County found that upon the conclusion of his client's contingent fee matter, Sharp failed to provide his client with an accounting. In addition, Sharp failed to hold funds belonging in whole or in part to his client that were in Sharp's possession in connection with the representation separate from the his own property. Sharp violated Rules 1.04(d) and 1.14(a). He was ordered to pay \$2,463.37 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses and \$4,000.00 in restitution.

#### DISTRICT 14:

Burnett, Burt Lee: #00787171 06/01/2017-Active Suspension

06/01/2017-05/31/2018: SUSPENSION

On June 1, 2017, **Burt Lee Burnett** [#00787171], 50, of Abilene, received a one-year, active suspension, effective June 1, 2017. An evidentiary panel of the District 14 Grievance Committee found that Burnett failed to hold funds belonging in whole or in part to a client that were in Burnett's possession in connection with a representation separate from the his own property. Burnett violated Rule 1.14(a). He was ordered to pay \$2,755.01 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

Knight, David W.: #11597325

06/29/2017-Default Active Suspension 06/12/2017-05/31/2018: SUSPENSION

On June 29, 2017, **David W. Knight** [#11597325], 62, of Wichita Falls, received an 11-month and 19 day, active suspension, effective June 12, 2017. An evidentiary panel of the District 14 Grievance Committee found that Knight failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of her matter and promptly comply with her reasonable requests for information. Knight violated Rule 1.03(a). He was ordered to pay \$500.00 in attorneys' fees and \$735.00 direct expenses.